

Elliptic Equations[†]

Abstract: A brief review is given of the literature on numerical methods for elliptic problems as related to the ideas introduced by Courant, Friedrichs and Lewy in the fundamental paper published in *Math. Ann.* 100, 32 (1928). The discussion shows how the finite-difference methods have subsequently been extended and applied to higher order elliptic problems and how the recent literature has reported methods for solving large algebraic systems. In addition, there is a discussion of the relation between the Dirichlet problem and the problem of the random walk.

Introduction

The fundamental paper of Courant, Friedrichs, and Lewy^{6**} (which we denote by C-F-L) contained an extensive and thorough discussion of finite-difference methods for elliptic problems. While the actual results of this remarkable paper are of value in themselves, the ideas introduced and developed there have been fundamental to the field ever since. For example, the finite-difference equations are obtained from the *variational problem* (Dirichlet's principle) related to the boundary value problem. Moreover, they anticipated Sobolev's lemma²² by proving a finite-difference variant for two dimensions.[‡]

In addition, the connection between the Dirichlet problem and the *problem of the random walk*²⁴ is discussed.

Boundary-value problems

One of the major problems discussed is the Dirichlet problem for Laplace's equation for a bounded plane region with a smooth boundary. That is,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0, \\ u(x, y) = f(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

It is assumed that $f(x, y) \in C(\bar{G})$ and

$$\iint_G \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy < \infty. \quad (2)$$

The C-F-L paper proceeds as follows. The finite-difference analog of Eq. (1) is set down and its relationship

to the minimum problem is discussed. The discrete *Green's identities* show that there exists a unique solution of this algebraic problem. Using the basic lemma on the interior equicontinuity of the solutions of this problem (the discrete Sobolev's lemma) and the Ascoli-Arzelà compactness theorem, one obtains a sequence $U_{h_n}(x, y)$ which converges (as $h_n \rightarrow 0$) to a harmonic function $u(x, y)$. The convergence is uniform on every compact subdomain. Finally,

$$\frac{1}{r} \iint_{S_r} [u - f]^2 dx dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

where S_r is a "boundary strip" of width r . Moreover, this uniquely characterizes the solution of Eq. (1). Therefore one can dispense with the selection of subsequences and the family of solutions $\{U_h(x, y)\}$ converges to $u(x, y)$ uniformly on every compact subdomain. This argument is then extended to the first boundary-value problem for the biharmonic equation.

In his Göttingen dissertation W. v. Koppenfels¹⁵ extended these results to the general second-order elliptic equation with "smooth" coefficients.

In 1941 I. G. Petrowskii²⁰ modified the basic C-F-L approach to the Dirichlet problem. In particular, he used the notion of a "barrier" function (see Ref. 7, pp. 306-312) to improve the treatment "near" the boundary. For example: if, at every point $P \in \Gamma$ there is a circle S such that $\bar{S} \cap \bar{G} = \{P\}$, then the convergence of $U_h(x, y)$ to $u(x, y)$ is uniform on $\bar{G}$. Moreover, this approach allows one to dispense with the requirement of (2).

In 1930 S. Gerschgorin¹² gave another treatment of the Dirichlet problem. His approach is easily extended to more general second order equations and more general finite-difference equations. Moreover, if $U(x, y) \in C^4(\bar{G})$, the Gerschgorin treatment gives an estimate of the "rate of convergence."

* Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin

† During the preparation of this paper the author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant NSF GP 5574.

** Superscripts refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

‡ The continuous version of Sobolev's lemma—although not in its sharpest form—was well known in Göttingen (see, e.g., K. O. Friedrichs' dissertation, *Math. Ann.*, 1926). Already in 1912 Haar had observed that the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure converges in the maximum norm for the plate equation because functions of two variables can be bounded by the L^2 norm of their second partial derivatives.

In 1940 Sobelev himself²³ gave a general finite-difference analog of the Sobelev lemma.

In 1953 L. Bers² used the Gerschgorin approach for "mildly nonlinear" elliptic problems. In the same year J. L. Walsh and D. M. Young²⁷ studied the Dirichlet problem in a rectangle—the so-called "model" problem—and showed that the convergence of $U_h(x, y)$ to $U(x, y)$ can be arbitrarily slow.

In a recent series of papers (see Refs. 3–5) J. Bramble and B. Hubbard have extended, for sufficiently smooth solutions $U(x, y)$, the Gerschgorin treatment of the rate of convergence.

More recently this author and P. Jamet¹⁴ have applied the basic C-F-L approach together with the "barrier" idea to second-order elliptic operators whose coefficients become singular on a portion of the boundary.

W. Littman¹⁷ (1958), V. K. Saulev²¹ and V. Thomée²⁵ have studied higher order elliptic problems making use of many of the C-F-L ideas (in particular, the Sobelev inequalities).

The algebraic problem

While C-F-L established that the system of finite-difference equations possess a unique solution and mentions some early work on relaxation methods, it contains no results on methods for solving these large algebraic systems. As the actual computation of such solutions became important, many authors turned to a more extensive discussion of this problem.

Let A be an n by n nonsingular matrix and consider the problem

$$AX = Y. \quad (4)$$

Let $A = P - N$ be a "splitting" of A , where P^{-1} exists and is "easily" obtained. Consider the "direct iterative method"

$$PX_{r+1} = NX_r + Y. \quad (5)$$

It is well known that the vectors X_r converge to the solution X of Eq. (4) if and only if the spectral radius ρ of $P^{-1}N = K$ satisfies

$$\rho < 1. \quad (6)$$

In 1949 H. Geiringer¹¹ discussed certain "structural" properties of K which would guarantee (6). In 1950 S. P. Frankel⁹ studied the case where Eq. (4) arises from the finite-difference equations for the model problem. He obtained explicit formulas for $\rho = \rho(h)$ when the iterative method was the Jacobi method, the Gauss-Seidel method, and the extrapolated Gauss-Seidel method (Successive Over-Relaxation, or SOR).

In 1954 D. M. Young³⁰ gave a general theory of the relationship of those methods based on "Property A". Let the matrix A satisfy Property A and be "consistently

ordered." Let μ be any eigenvalue of B , the associated Jacobi matrix. Then $-\mu$ is also an eigenvalue of B . Let $\omega > 0$ be the parameter of an SOR method. Let $\lambda \neq 0$. Then λ is an eigenvalue of this SOR method if and only if

$$\frac{\lambda + \omega - 1}{\omega\lambda^{1/2}} = \mu \quad (7)$$

for some μ (eigenvalue of B). The Gauss-Seidel method is given by $\omega = 1$.

This theory was then extended to "block" iterative methods by R. J. Arms, L. D. Gates and B. Zondek¹ and B. Friedman.¹⁰

This approach to the algebraic convergence problem has been extended by R. S. Varga²⁶ who related it to the Perron-Frobenius theory of non-negative matrices. Varga has used his theory of "regular splitting" to develop general comparison theorems. He has also obtained estimates for $\rho(h)$ in the model problem.

More recently the present author¹⁹ has used the basic compactness ideas of C-F-L to establish a general asymptotic formula for $\rho(h)$ when the matrix A arises from a self-adjoint, uniformly elliptic, second-order operator L . In fact, the method has also been applied to higher order equations.^{18,25} Suppose that $A = A(h)$ and $P = P(h)$ are both positive definite. Suppose the splitting $A = P - N$ satisfies "Property B"—loosely speaking this means that the matrices $N(h)$ are uniformly bounded and there is a smooth function $Q(x, y) \geq Q_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Delta x \Delta y (N\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}) \rightarrow \iint Q(x, y) \Phi(x, y) \Psi(x, y) dx dy$$

when $\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}$ are the vectors obtained from point evaluation of the continuous functions $\Phi(x, y), \Psi(x, y)$.

Then, under some minor additional conditions,

$$\rho(h) = 1 - \Lambda \Delta x \Delta y + \rho(\Delta x \Delta y), \quad (8)$$

where Λ is the minimal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} LU + \Lambda QU = 0, \\ U = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Concluding remarks

The literature on numerical methods for elliptic problems is now so vast that it proves impossible, in any modest space, to mention all important papers in the field. Thus, the specific papers mentioned in this brief sketch are ones permitted by space limitations, immediate relevance to the subject at hand, and this author's knowledge of the literature. Additionally, we wish to mention, in particular, the "alternating direction iterative methods" for the algebraic problem discussed by Olof Widlund²⁹ and the work of H. F. Weinberger²⁸ on the elliptic eigenvalue problem. Further, the interested reader will find excellent bibliographies in Refs. 8, 13, 16, and 31.

Bibliography

1. R. J. Arms, L. D. Gates, and B. Zondek, "A method of block iteration," *Jour. SIAM* 4, 220-229 (1956).
2. L. Bers, "On mildly nonlinear partial difference equations of elliptic type," *Jour. Res. Nat. Bur. of Stand.* 51, 229-236 (1953).
3. J. H. Bramble and B. E. Hubbard, "On the formulation of finite-difference analogues of the Dirichlet problem for Poisson's equation," *Numer. Math.* 4, 313-327 (1962).
4. —, "A priori bounds on the discretization error in the numerical solution of the Dirichlet problem," *Contributions to Differential Equations* II, 229-252 (1963).
5. —, "A theorem on error estimation for finite-difference analogues of the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations," *Contributions to Differential Equations* II, 319-340 (1963).
6. R. Courant, K. Friedrichs, and H. Lewy, "On the partial difference equations of mathematical physics," *IBM Journal* 11, 215-234 (1967). English translation of the original work, "Über die Partiellen Differenzengleichungen der Mathematischen Physik," *Math. Ann.* 100, 32-74 (1928).
7. R. Courant, *Partial Differential Equations* (Courant-Hilbert, Vol. II) Interscience, New York, 1962.
8. G. Forsythe and W. Wasow, *Finite-Difference Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1960.
9. S. P. Frankel, "Convergence rates of iterative treatments of partial differential equations," *M. T. A. C.* 4, 65-76 (1950).
10. B. Friedman, "The iterative solution of elliptic partial difference equations," *AEC Research and Development Report* (N. Y. U.) NYO-7698 (1957).
11. H. Geiringer, "On the solution of systems of linear equations by certain iteration methods," *Reissner Anniversary Volume*, 365-393, Univ. of Mich. Press, Ann Arbor, 1949.
12. S. Gerschgorin, "Fehlerabschätzung für das Differenzenverfahren zur Lösung partieller Differentialgleichungen," *Z. angew. Math. Mech.* 10, 373-382 (1930).
13. D. Greenspan, *Introductory Numerical Analysis of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Harper and Row, New York, 1965.
14. P. Jamet and S. V. Parter, "Numerical methods for elliptic differential equations whose coefficients are singular on a portion of the boundary." To appear.
15. W. v. Koppenfels, "Über die Existenz des Lösungen linear partieller Differentialgleichungen vom elliptischen Typus," Dissertation, Göttingen, 1929.
16. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, "The method of finite-differences in the theory of partial differential equations," *A. M. S. Translations, series 2*, 20, 77-104 (1962).
17. W. Littman, "Résolution du problème de Dirichlet par la méthode des différences finies," *Compt. rend.* 247, 2270-2272 (1958).
18. S. V. Parter, "Multi-line iterative methods for elliptic difference equations and fundamental frequencies," *Numer. Math.* 3, 305-319 (1961).
19. —, "On estimating the 'Rates of Convergence' of iterative methods for elliptic difference equations," *Trans. of A.M.S.* 114, 320-354 (1965).
20. I. G. Petrowskii, "A new proof of the existence of a solution of Dirichlet's problem by the method of finite-differences," *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 8, 161-170 (1941).
21. V. K. Saulev, "On a class of elliptic equations solvable by the method of finite-differences," *Vysisl. Mat.* 1, 81-86 (1957).
22. S. L. Sobolev, "On a theorem of functional analysis," *Mat. Sb. N. S.* 4 (46) 471-497 (1938).
23. —, "On estimates for certain sums for functions defined on a grid," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR ser. Mat.* 4, 5-16 (1940).
24. F. Spitzer, *Principles of Random Walk*, D. Van Nostrand Princeton, N. J., 1964.
25. V. Thomée, "Elliptic difference operators and Dirichlet's problem," *Contributions to Differential Equations* III, 301-324 (1964).
26. R. S. Varga *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
27. J. L. Walsh and D. M. Young, "On the accuracy of the numerical solution of the Dirichlet problem by finite differences," *Jour. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards* 51, 343-363 (1953).
28. H. F. Weinberger, "Lower bounds for higher eigenvalues by finite-difference methods," *Pacific J. Math* 8, 339-368 (1958).
29. O. B. Widlund, "On difference methods for parabolic equations and alternating direction implicit methods for elliptic equations," *IBM Journal* 11, 239-243 (1967).
30. D. M. Young, "Iterative methods for solving partial difference equations of elliptic type," *Trans. A. M. S.* 76, 92-111 (1954).
31. D. M. Young and T. G. Frank, "A survey of computer methods for solving elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations," *ICC Bulletin* 2, 1-59 (1963).

Some additional references

- J. Albrecht and W. Uhlmann, "Differenzverfahren für die erste Randwertaufgabe mit krummlinigen Rändern bei $\Delta u(x, y) = r(x, y, u)$," *Z. angew. Math. Mech.* 37, 212-224 (1957).
- E. Batschelet, "Über die numerische Auflösung von Randwertproblemen bei elliptischen partiellen Differentialgleichungen," *Z. angew. Math. Physik* 3, 165-193 (1952).
- L. Collatz, *The Numerical Treatment of Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1960.
- D. M. Eidus, "On the solution of boundary value problems by the method of finite differences," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* 83, 191-194 (1952).
- K. O. Friedrichs and H. B. Keller, "A finite difference scheme for generalized Neumann problems," *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations*. Symposium held at University of Maryland, May 1965, Editor J. Bramble, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- D. S. Griffen and R. S. Varga, "Numerical solution of plane elasticity problems," *J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.* 11, 1046-1062 (1963).
- J. Hersch, "Équations différentielles et fonctions des cellules," *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 240, 1602-1604 (1955).
- L. Lusternick, "On an application of the direct method in variation calculus," *Recueil de la Société Mathém. de Moscou*, 1926.
- J. Nitsche and J. C. C. Nitsche, "Error estimates for the numerical solution of elliptic differential equations," *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 5, 293-306 (1960).
- S. V. Parter, "Numerical methods for generalized axially symmetric potentials," *J. SIAM, Numer. Anal. ser. B* 2, 500-516 (1965).
- , "Mildly nonlinear elliptic equations," *Symposium, numerical methods for nonlinear differential equations*, May 1966, Madison, Wisconsin. Edited by Donald Greenspan, Wiley, 1966.

H. B. Phillips and N. Wiener, "Nets and the Dirichlet problem," *J. Math. and Physics* 2, 105-124 (1923).

S. Schechter, "Iterative methods for non-linear problems," *Trans. A. M. S.* 104, 179-189 (1962).

J. Schröder, "Monotonie-Eigenschaften bei Differentialgleichungen," *Arch. Rat. Mech. and Anal.* 14, 38-60 (1963).

W. Uhlmann, "Differenzenverfahren für die 2. und 3.

Randwertaufgabe mit krummlinigen Rändern bei $\Delta u(x, y) = r(x, y, u)$," *Z. angew. Math. Mech.* 38, 236-251 (1958).

W. Wasow, "The accuracy of difference approximations to plane Dirichlet problems with piecewise analytic boundary values," *Quart. Appl. Math.* 15, 53-63 (1957).

Received August 16, 1966

Contents of previous two issues

November 1966

Vol. 10, No. 6

• Papers:

- Gallium Arsenide Planar Technology
by *W. von Münch* 438
- Dislocation-Induced Deviation of Phosphorus-Diffusion Profiles in Silicon
by *M. L. Joshi and S. Dash* 446
- The Integrated Vocoder and its Application in Computer Systems
by *E. H. Rothauser* 455
- New Methods for De Haas-Shubnikov Measurements
by *R. D. Brown, III* 462
- A Partial Error Analysis for the Solution of Differential Equations in Simulation; A Look at Fowler's z-Transform Root-Locus Method
by *J. W. Daniel* 472
- In-situ Measurements of Magnetic Properties in Vacuum-Deposited Permalloy Films
by *K. Y. Ahn* 477

• Short Communication:

- Electron Barriers in Al-Al₂O₃-SnTe and Al-Al₂O₃-GeTe Tunnel Junctions
by *L. L. Chang, P. J. Stiles and L. Esaki* 484

• <i>Papers on System/360 Model 91:</i>		ASLT Circuit Design	
Some Remarks on System Development		by R. F. Sechler, A. R. Strube and J. R. Turnbull	74
by M. J. Flynn and P. R. Low	2	ASLT: An Extension of Hybrid Miniaturization Techniques	
Machine Philosophy and Instruction Handling		by R. H. F. Lloyd	86
by D. W. Anderson, F. J. Sparacio and R. M. Tomasulo	8		
An Efficient Algorithm for Exploiting Multiple Arithmetic Units		• <i>Papers:</i>	
by R. M. Tomasulo	25	Review of Wall Creeping in Thin Magnetic Films	
Floating-Point Execution Unit		by S. Middlehoek and D. Wild	93
by S. F. Anderson, J. G. Earle, R. E. Goldschmidt and D. M. Powers	34	A Rapid Method for Determining Compound Composition of Cement Clinker: Application to Closed Loop Kiln Control	
Storage System		by P. K. Mehta and M. J. Shah	106
by L. J. Boland, G. D. Granito, A. U. Marcotte, B. U. Messina and J. W. Smith	54	• <i>Letter to the Editor:</i>	
Design of a High-Speed Transistor for the ASLT Current Switch		The Necessity to Examine D-Chains in Diagnostic Test Generation—An Example	
by J. L. Langdon and E. J. Van Derveer	69	by P. R. Schneider	114